UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Nebraska

	United States of America	ì	\				
	V.)				
	Oscar Lopez-Insuza)	Case No:	8:11CR326		
)	USM No:	23346-047		
Date of Original		05/07/2012)	Dovid D. C	tialman		
	s Amended Judgment:)	David R. S			
(Ose Date of Last 1)	inchaca vuagneni y iny)			Dejendani 5	<i>morney</i>		
(NTENCE REDUCTION		
	PUR	SUANT TO	19	U.S.C. § .	5582(C)(2)		
§ 3582(c)(2) for subsequently be § 994(u), and ha	a reduction in the term of en lowered and made ret	of imprisonment in roactive by the Un otion, and taking i	mpo niteo	sed based or d States Senaccount the	of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.C in a guideline sentencing range that has tencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1 at they are applicable,	C.	
IT IS ORDERI DEN the last judgment is				reviously im	posed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected to	ted in	
	(Com	uplete Parts I and II oj	f Pas	e 2 when moti	on is granted)		
	(00)	prese I am is I ama II of	, - 00	, = 7,	n is granted,		
Except as others	wise provided, all provisi	ons of the judgme	ent d	lated 0	5/07/2012 shall remain in effect.		
IT IS SO ORD	•	ons of the juagme	0111		snan remain in effect.		
11 15 50 OKD.	EKED.						
Order Date:	12/29/2015			1	erie Sitt (and		
order Dute.				- 1	Judge's signature		
			(_/	•		
Effective Date:	(10.1100			Laurie S	mith Camp, Chief U.S. District Judge		
	(if different from order date)		Printed name and title				

This page contains information that should not be filed in court unless under seal. (Not for Public Disclosure)

Oscar Lopez-Insuz	ıza			
DEFENDANT:				
CASE NUMBER: 8:11CR326				
DISTRICT: District of Nebraska				
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE		,		
Previous Total Offense Level:		Amended Total Offense Level:		
Criminal History Category:		Criminal History Category:		
Previous Guideline Range: to m	nonths	Amended Guideline Range:	to _	months
II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO THE AMENDE				
The reduced sentence is within the amended guid			. 4 . 4	d o 4 o 4 d lo o
The previous term of imprisonment imposed was				
time of sentencing as a result of a substantial assi		departure or Rule 35 reduction, a	and the reduce	ed sentence
is comparably less than the amended guideline ra	_			
The reduced sentence is above the amended guid	deline ra	ange.		

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

The Defendant's pro se Motions to Reduce Sentence - USSC Amendment (Filing Nos. 68, 69 and 72) are denied. Defense counsel's Motion to Withdraw (Filing No. 71) is granted, although the Court disagrees with counsel's statement that "the Defendant received the two-level reduction benefit pursuant to Amendment 782 at sentencing." The record shows that the Defendant was sentenced pursuant to an 11(c)(1)(C) plea agreement to a term of 99 years, which term was well below the applicable guideline range before or after the effective date of Amendment 782. Further, the plea agreement (Filing No. 49) demonstrates that the stipulated sentence was not dependent upon the calculation of any specific guideline range. See Freeman v. United States, 131 S.Ct. 2685 (2011).